arXiv:hep-th/0005080v2 29 May 2000

UT-880
`hep-th/0005080`

Open-Closed String Field Theory in the Background B-Field

Teruhiko Kawano
Department of Physics, University of Tokyo
Hongo, Tokyo 113-0033, Japan
`kawano@hep-th.phys.s.u-tokyo.ac.jp`
and
Tomohiko Takahashi
Department of Physics, Nara Women's University
Nara 630-8506, Japan
`tomo@phys.nara-wu.ac.jp`

In this paper, we study open-closed string field theory in the background B-field in the so-called $\alpha = p^+$ formulation. The string field theory in the infrared gives noncommutative gauge theory in the open string sector. Since this theory includes closed string fields as dynamical variables, we can obtain another string field theory in the same background through the condensation of closed string fields, whose low-energy effective action does not show the noncommutativity of spacetime. Although we have two string field theories in the same background, we show that these theories are equivalent. In fact, we give the unitary transformation from string fields in one of them to string fields in the other.

May, 2000

1. Introduction

In our previous paper [1], we studied Witten's open string field theory [2] in the background B-field [1,3]. In the resulting string field theory, the kinetic term has an ordinary form, except that the metric in the BRS charge of the kinetic term is the open string metric G_{ij}, not the closed string metric g_{ij}. The open string metric G_{ij} is related to the closed string metric g_{ij} by $G_{ij} = g_{ij} - b_{ik}g^{kl}b_{lj}$, where $b_{ij} = (2\pi\alpha')B_{ij}$; B_{ij} is the background B-field. The interaction term is also affected by the background B-field. The interaction term of Witten's open string field theory is only the three-string vertex ${}_{321}\langle V_3|\,|\Psi\rangle_1\,|\Psi\rangle_2\,|\Psi\rangle_3$. In the presence of the B-field, the three-string vertex $\langle V_3|$ becomes $\langle V_3|\exp[-(i/4)\sum_{r<s}^{3}\theta^{ij}p_i^{(r)}p_j^{(s)}]$. These results are in agreement with the results in [4]. Therefore, we can expect that the low-energy physics is effectively described by noncommutative gauge theory.

The novelty of the papers [1,3] is that the noncommutative factor $e^{[-(i/4)\sum\theta^{ij}p_i^{(r)}p_j^{(s)}]}$ on the three-string vertex $\langle V_3|$ can be expressed as $\prod_{r=1}^{3}U^{(r)}$, where $U^{(r)} = e^{M^{(r)}}$ is a unitary operator of the r-th string. Therefore, it is very tempting to transform open string fields Ψ by $\Psi \to U^{-1}\Psi$ to remove the noncommutative factor $\exp[-(i/4)\sum_{r<s}^{3}\theta^{ij}p_i^{(r)}p_j^{(s)}]$ from the three-string vertex, and to expect that the low-energy dynamics is described by an ordinary gauge theory with background field strength B_{ij}. In fact, the unitary operator $U^{(r)}$ cannot be uniquely determined. In the papers [1,3], a unitary operator $e^{M^{(r)}}$ was proposed to be $M^{(r)} = (i/2)\theta^{ij}{p_L}_i^{(r)}{p_R}_j^{(r)}$, where $p_{L\,i}$ is given by the integration of the momentum $P_i(\sigma)$ over half a string $(0,\pi/2)$ and $p_{R\,i}$ over $(\pi/2,\pi)$. Upon the unitary transformation, we obtain the transformed BRS charge $e^{M}Q_{\mathrm{B}}e^{-M}$ in the kinetic term and find that it is divergent. It seems that the divergence comes from the midpoint of open strings: $\sigma = \pi/2$. Since the transformed BRS charge should not depend on the types of the string interactions, it is natural to seek candidates for the operator M which can be used in other string field theories, as well as in Witten's string field theory. As we argued in our paper [1], another operator M has been proposed to be $M = -\frac{i}{4}\int_0^\pi d\sigma\int_0^\pi d\sigma'\epsilon(\sigma-\sigma')\theta^{ij}P_i(\sigma)P_j(\sigma')$, which we called $\tilde{M}$ in [1]. By making use of this operator M, the transformed BRS charge $e^{M}Q_{\mathrm{B}}e^{-M}$ can be found to be finite, as we will see below.

Thus, if we perform the unitary transformation $\Psi \to e^{-M}\Psi$, we may expect to obtain another string field theory in the same background B-field, which gives an ordinary gauge theory with the background field strength B_{ij} in the infrared. The aim of this paper is to show that this is indeed the case. To this end, it is appropriate to use an open-closed string field theory, because we have closed string fields as dynamical variables in such a theory. Since the antisymmetric tensor B_{ij} is a component field of closed string fields, it is easy to understand the background B-field as the condensation of closed string fields. Thus, we can obtain an open-closed string field theory in the background B-field from the theory in the absence of the B-field by shifting closed string fields by the vacuum expectation value of the dynamical B-field contained in closed string fields. In this paper, we will use an open-closed string field theory recently given by Asakawa, Kugo, and one of the authors [5-7].

The operator $M = -\frac{i}{4}\int_0^\pi d\sigma \int_0^\pi d\sigma' \epsilon(\sigma-\sigma')\theta^{ij} P_i(\sigma)P_j(\sigma')$ can be applied to the open-closed string field theory of the papers [5-7]. Indeed, we can express all the interactions of the string field theory in the B-field by using this operator M and the vertices of the string field theory without the B-field, in the same way as we have done in Witten's string field theory [1,3]. In this case, we also need the interactions between open string fields and closed string fields. Therefore, one of the new results in our construction is the interaction between open strings and closed strings in the presence of the background B-field. In this paper, one of our main results is to show that this theory can be transformed into the theory obtained by the condensation of closed string fields by the above transformation $\Psi \rightarrow e^{-M}\Psi$.

This paper is organized as follows: In section 2, we construct the open-closed string field theory in the B-field by solving the overlapping conditions to obtain the string vertices. In section 3, we obtain another string field theory in the same background as the theory in section 2 by utilizing the condensation of closed string fields. In section 4, we discuss the transformation of the string field theory in section 2 into the theory in section 3 by using the unitary transformation. Section 5 is devoted to discussion. In the appendix, we solve the overlapping conditions for the open-closed string transition vertex and the open-open-closed string vertex in the background B-field to obtain these vertices.

2. Open-Closed String Field Theory in the Background B-Field

Some covariant string field theories have been constructed as covariantized light-cone gauge string field theories in [8-10]. The theories include unphysical parameters called string length parameters α, which are necessary to describe the joining-splitting vertices instead of the light-cone momentum p^+ in the light-cone gauge string field theory [11,12]. In order to avoid such unphysical parameters, though we have to give up a manifestly

Lorentz covariant formulation, we can use the so-called $\alpha = p^+$ HIKKO theories [13], where we eliminate p^+ and identify α as p^+. This formulation still retains gauge invariance and is distinct from the light-cone gauge string field theory in this respect. In the series of papers [5-7], an open-closed string field theory has been constructed in the $\alpha = p^+$ HIKKO formulation.

In this paper, we will study this theory in a constant background B-field. In general, the gauge invariance of this system is subtle due to the divergence from the dilaton tadpoles. Although, as shown in [6,7], gauge invariance can be maintained by the cancellation of the dilaton tadpoles from the disk amplitude and the crosscap amplitude, we will only consider the oriented sector in the theory. Since D-branes and orientifold plans are the sources of the disk and the crosscap, respectively, we will restrict our study to D-branes, despite the importance of orientifold planes in maintaining the full gauge invariance of the theory [1].

As we will show in this section, the vertices of our string field theory in the B-field can be obtained by replacing open string fields $|\Psi\rangle$ with $e^M|\hat{\Psi}\rangle$ in the string field theory with no B-field, where M is a particular operator. The aim of this section is to explain the derivation of this prescription.

Before we construct the string field theory in our background, let us recall some facts about the first-quantized open string theory in this background. The worldsheet action of open strings is

$$S = -\frac{1}{4\pi\alpha'} \int d\tau \int_0^\pi d\sigma \left(g_{ij}\eta^{ab}\partial_a \hat{X}^i \partial_b \hat{X}^j - 2\pi\alpha' B_{ij}\epsilon^{ab}\partial_a \hat{X}^i \partial_b \hat{X}^j \right), \tag{2.1}$$

where g_{ij} is a constant background metric and B_{ij} is the constant background antisymmetric field. If the Dirichlet boundary condition is not chosen for all the directions of the string coordinates, the boundary condition can be seen to be $g_{ij}\partial_\sigma \hat{X}^j + (2\pi\alpha')B_{ij}\partial_\tau \hat{X}^j = 0$ at $\sigma = 0,\ \pi$. For simplicity, we will impose this boundary condition on all the string coordinates $\hat{X}^i(\tau,\sigma)$. The conjugate momenta $P_i(\sigma)$ are given by $(1/2\pi\alpha')g_{ij}\partial_\tau \hat{X}^j(\sigma) + B_{ij}\partial_\sigma \hat{X}^j(\sigma)$.

Applying the Dirac quantization method to this system [16,17] (see also the appendix in [1]), we obtain the commutation relations

$$\begin{gathered} \left[\hat{X}^i(\sigma),\ P_j(\sigma')\right] = i\,\delta^i_j\,\delta_c(\sigma,\sigma'), \qquad [P_i(\sigma),\ P_j(\sigma')] = 0, \\ \left[\hat{X}^i(\sigma),\ \hat{X}^j(\sigma')\right] = i\theta^{ij}\left(\delta_{\sigma,0}\delta_{\sigma',0} - \delta_{\sigma,\pi}\delta_{\sigma',\pi}\right), \end{gathered} \tag{2.2}$$

---

[1] We could consider another approach to a gauge invariant open-closed string field theory [14], which is constructed in a curved background with gauge invariance ensured by the Fishler-Susskind mechanism [15]. However, such a theory has not yet been fully constructed.

where the delta function $\delta_c(\sigma,\sigma')$ is $(1/\pi)\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty}\cos(n\sigma)\cos(n\sigma')$ and $\delta_{\sigma,\sigma'}$ is the Kronecker delta. The noncommutative parameter θ^{ij} is given by $[(g+2\pi\alpha' B)^{-1}\,B\,(g-2\pi\alpha' B)^{-1}]^{ij}$. When turning off the B-field, we have the usual oscillator expansion of the string coordinates and the momenta

$$X^i(\sigma)=x^i+l_s\sum_{n\neq 0}\frac{i}{n}\alpha_n^i\cos(n\sigma),\qquad P_i(\sigma)=\frac{1}{\pi l_s}\sum G_{ij}\alpha_n^j\cos(n\sigma),\tag{2.3}$$

with $l_s=\sqrt{2\alpha'}$. In the presence of the B-field, the string coordinates become $\hat{X}^i(\sigma)=X^i(\sigma)+\theta^{ij}Q_j(\sigma)$, where the open string metric G_{ij} is $[g-(2\pi\alpha')^2Bg^{-1}B]_{ij}$, while the momenta remain unchanged. The change $Q^i(\sigma)$ is given by

$$Q_i(\sigma)=\frac{1}{\pi}p_i\left(\sigma-\frac{\pi}{2}\right)+\frac{1}{\pi l_s}\sum_{n\neq 0}\frac{1}{n}G_{ij}\alpha_n^j\sin(n\sigma)$$

and is related to $P_i(\sigma)$ by

$$Q_i(\sigma)=\frac{1}{2}\int_0^\pi d\sigma'\epsilon(\sigma-\sigma')P_i(\sigma').\tag{2.4}$$

From (2.2), we can obtain the commutation relations of these oscillators

$$[x^i,\,p_j]=i\delta^i_j,\quad \left[\alpha_m^i,\,\alpha_n^j\right]=m\delta_{m+n}G^{ij}.\tag{2.5}$$

As we mentioned at the beginning of this section, in the resulting string field theory with the B-field, we will find that open string fields $|\Psi\rangle$ of the theory without the B-field are multiplied by the factor e^M. Therefore, it is appropriate to define this operator M before we give the vertices of the string field theory. The operator M is given by

$$M=-\frac{i}{4}\int_0^\pi d\sigma\int_0^\pi d\sigma'\epsilon(\sigma-\sigma')\theta^{ij}P_i(\sigma)P_j(\sigma'),\tag{2.6}$$

where $\epsilon(\sigma)$ is a step function which is 1 for $\sigma>0$ and -1 for $\sigma<0$. When solving the overlapping conditions to obtain the vertices, we will find that the usual vertices in [5-7] fail to satisfy those conditions in our background. But if we put the operator e^M on each leg of the open strings, the resulting vertices satisfy them. This is similar to what we have done for the midpoint interaction of Witten's theory in [1,3] [2].

---

[2] In Witten's theory, we can use another operator to make the vertices satisfy the overlapping conditions. See [1,3] for further details.

For closed strings, since we are considering a flat Minkowski spacetime, the B-field cannot alter the dynamics of this system. Indeed, though we have the same worldsheet action as (2.1), except that σ runs from 0 to 2π, not to π, the term including the B-field can be made into a surface term and can thus be discarded. But later it will be convenient to have kept this term in the action. In the language of the Hamiltonian formulation, keeping the surface term means that we have alternative canonical variables $X^i(\sigma)$ and $P_i(\sigma)$; from the action, the momenta $P_i(\sigma)$ can be seen to be $(1/2\pi\alpha')g_{ij}\dot{X}^i(\sigma) + B_{ij}X^{'j}(\sigma)$.

Since the string coordinates obey the usual equation of motion and the periodic boundary condition is imposed on them, they have the usual oscillator expansion

$$X^i(\sigma) = x^i + \frac{l_s}{2}\sum_{n\neq 0}\frac{i}{n}\left(\alpha_n^i e^{-in\sigma} + \bar{\alpha}_n^i e^{in\sigma}\right). \tag{2.7}$$

It is obvious that the combination $(P_i - B_{ij}X^{'j})$ has the ordinary expansion of the usual momenta, therefore we obtain

$$P_i(\sigma) = \frac{1}{2\pi}p_i + \frac{1}{2\pi l_s}\sum_{n\neq 0}\left[\left(g_{ij}+b_{ij}\right)\alpha_n^j e^{-in\sigma} + \left(g_{ij}-b_{ij}\right)\bar{\alpha}_n^j e^{in\sigma}\right], \tag{2.8}$$

where $b_{ij} = (2\pi\alpha')B_{ij}$. By using the usual commutation relations of the string coordinates and the momenta, we can verify that the oscillators satisfy

$$[x^i, p_j] = i\delta^i_j, \quad \left[\alpha^i_m, \alpha^j_n\right] = m\delta_{m+n}g^{ij}, \quad \left[\bar{\alpha}^i_m, \bar{\alpha}^j_n\right] = m\delta_{m+n}g^{ij}. \tag{2.9}$$

We will also find it useful to define for closed strings the counterpart of the operator M

$$M = -\frac{i}{4}\int_0^{2\pi}d\sigma\int_0^{2\pi}d\sigma'\epsilon(\sigma-\sigma')\theta^{ij}P_i(\sigma)P_j(\sigma'). \tag{2.10}$$

The BRS charges in this background are needed to obtain the kinetic terms of our string field theory. In the string field theory, we have the kinetic term of open string fields $|\hat{\Psi}\rangle$ and that of closed string fields $|\Phi\rangle$. Because we have the term including the B-field in the action for closed strings, the BRS charge for closed strings in our background is the same form as that for open strings, when we write them in terms of the string coordinates and the momenta. The ghost part of the BRS charges is not different from the usual one. The matter part can be given by using the energy-momentum tensor

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{T}_{\pm\pm} &= \frac{1}{4\alpha'}g^{ij}\left[(2\pi\alpha')P_i \pm \left(g_{ik}\mp b_{ik}\right)\hat{X}'^k\right]\left[(2\pi\alpha')P_j \pm \left(g_{jl}\mp b_{jl}\right)\hat{X}'^l\right]\\ &= \frac{1}{4\alpha'}G_{ij}\left[\hat{X}'^i \pm (2\pi\alpha')\left(G^{ik}\mp\frac{\theta^{ik}}{2\pi\alpha'}\right)P_k\right]\left[\hat{X}'^j \pm (2\pi\alpha')\left(G^{jl}\mp\frac{\theta^{jl}}{2\pi\alpha'}\right)P_l\right],\end{aligned} \tag{2.11}$$

where the prime denotes the differentiation with respect to σ. Here, the above expression (2.11) can be applied to the energy-momentum tensor only for open strings. In order to obtain the energy-momentum tensor for closed strings, we have to replace the string coordinates $\hat{X}^i(\sigma)$ with $X^i(\sigma)$ in (2.7) and take away the hat on the energy-momentum tensor. Noting the combination $(2\pi\alpha')g^{ij}(P_i - B_{ij}X'^j)$ in the former expression of the energy-momentum tensor in (2.11), we can see that $T_{\pm\pm}$ for closed strings has the usual oscillator expansion. We can use the latter expression of $\hat{T}_{\pm\pm}$ for open strings to understand that $\hat{T}_{\pm\pm}$ also has the usual oscillator expression except that the closed string metric g_{ij} is replaced by the open string metric G_{ij}, as we mentioned in the introduction.

Now, let us consider our open-closed string field theory in the background B-field. In the absence of the B-field, the action S of the oriented open-closed string field theory is

$$\begin{aligned}
-\frac{1}{2}\langle\Psi|Q_{\rm B}|\Psi\rangle - \frac{1}{2}\langle\Phi|\,Q_{\rm B}(b_0^-\mathcal{P})\,|\Phi\rangle + \frac{g}{3}\,\langle V_3^{\rm o}(1,2,3)|\,|\Psi\rangle_{321} + \frac{g^2}{4}\,\langle V_4^{\rm o}(1,2,3,4)|\,|\Psi\rangle_{4321} \\
+ \frac{g^2}{3!}\,\langle V_3^{\rm c}(1^{\rm c},2^{\rm c},3^{\rm c})|\,|\Phi\rangle_{321} + g\,\langle U(1,2^{\rm c})|\,|\Phi\rangle_2\,|\Psi\rangle_1 + \frac{g^2}{2}\,\langle U_\Omega(1,2,3^{\rm c})|\,|\Phi\rangle_3\,|\Psi\rangle_{21},
\end{aligned} \tag{2.12}$$

as has been given in [6] [3]. Here g is the open string coupling constant. The sign $|\hat{\Psi}\rangle$ will be used for an open string field in our theory with B-field. The multiple products $|\Phi\rangle_n \cdots |\Phi\rangle_2\,|\Phi\rangle_1$ of string fields are denoted by $|\Phi\rangle_{n\cdots 21}$. In (2.12), we omitted the integrations over the zero modes of the momenta. In the $\alpha = p^+$ HIKKO formulation, we identify the α parameter as p^+ for closed strings and as $2p^+$ for open strings.

We have five interaction vertices in this theory. These vertices can be obtained up to an overall normalization by solving the overlap condition. Similarly, in our background the string vertices are given by the overlapping condition. For example, the open three-string vertex $\langle\hat{V}_3^{\rm o}(1,2,3)|$ in the case where $\alpha_1,\ \alpha_2 > 0,\quad \alpha_3 < 0$ is explicitly given by the overlapping condition

$$\begin{aligned}
&\langle\hat{V}_3^{\rm o}(3,2,1)|\left\{\hat{\phi}^{(1)}(\sigma_1) - \hat{\phi}^{(3)}(\sigma_3)\right\} = 0, \quad (0 < \sigma < \pi\alpha_1), \\
&\langle\hat{V}_3^{\rm o}(3,2,1)|\left\{\hat{\phi}^{(2)}(\sigma_2) - \hat{\phi}^{(3)}(\sigma_3)\right\} = 0, \quad (\pi\alpha_1 < \sigma < \pi|\alpha_3|),
\end{aligned} \tag{2.13}$$

---

[3] In this action, the coefficients $x_{\rm c}$, x_u and x_Ω given in [6,7] are included in their respective vertices. Therefore, the definition of the vertices is different from that in [6,7] by these multiplicative factors.

where $\hat{\phi}_r^{(r)}(\sigma_r)$ denotes $\hat{X}^{i\,(r)}(\sigma_r)$ or $(1/\alpha_r)P_i^{(r)}(\sigma_r)$ of the r-th open string. Here we use the following parameters: $\sigma_1 = \sigma/\alpha_1$; $\sigma_2 = (\sigma - \pi\alpha_1)/\alpha_2$; $\sigma_3 = (\pi|\alpha_3| - \sigma)/|\alpha_3|$. Likewise, the usual open three-string vertex $\langle V_3^{\rm o}(1,2,3)|$ is specified by

$$\begin{aligned} &\langle V_3^{\rm o}(3,2,1)| \left\{ \phi^{(1)}\,(\sigma_1) - \phi^{(3)}\,(\sigma_3) \right\} = 0, \quad (0 < \sigma < \pi\alpha_1), \\ &\langle V_3^{\rm o}(3,2,1)| \left\{ \phi^{(2)}\,(\sigma_2) - \phi^{(3)}\,(\sigma_3) \right\} = 0, \quad (\pi\alpha_1 < \sigma < \pi|\alpha_3|), \end{aligned} \tag{2.14}$$

where $\phi^{(r)}(\sigma_r)$ is the same as $\hat{\phi}^{(r)}(\sigma_r)$, but it denotes $X^{i\,(r)}(\sigma_r)$, not $\hat{X}^{i\,(r)}(\sigma_r)$. This vertex $\langle V_3^{\rm o}(1,2,3)|$ can be used to solve the overlapping condition (2.13) to get our vertex $\langle \hat{V}_3^{\rm o}(1,2,3)|$. Replacing $X^{i\,(r)}(\sigma_r)$ with $\hat{X}^{i\,(r)}(\sigma_r)$ in the left-hand side of (2.14), we find that those string coordinates do not connect on the vertex by the difference $Q^{i\,(r)}(\sigma_r)$ between $\hat{X}^{i\,(r)}(\sigma_r)$ and $X^{i\,(r)}(\sigma_r)$. Indeed, using (2.14) and (2.4), we find

$$\begin{aligned} &\langle V_3^{\rm o}(1,2,3)| \left\{ Q^{i\,(1)}\,(\sigma_1) - Q^{i\,(3)}\,(\sigma_3) \right\} = \langle V_3^{\rm o}(1,2,3)| \,\frac{1}{2} G^{ij} p_j^{(2)}, \ (0 < \sigma < \pi\alpha_1) \\ &\langle V_3^{\rm o}(1,2,3)| \left\{ Q^{i\,(2)}\,(\sigma_2) - Q^{i\,(3)}\,(\sigma_3) \right\} = \langle V_3^{\rm o}(1,2,3)| \left(-\frac{1}{2} \right) G^{ij} p_j^{(1)}. \ (\pi\alpha_1 < \sigma < \pi|\alpha_3|) \end{aligned} \tag{2.15}$$

This equation (2.15) helps us to find our open three-string vertex

$$\langle \hat{V}_3^{\rm o}(1,2,3)\,| = \langle V_3^{\rm o}(1,2,3)| \exp\left(-\frac{i}{2} \sum_{r<s} \theta^{ij} p_i^{(r)} p_j^{(s)} \right), \tag{2.16}$$

which is the same expression as that in Witten's open string field theory, as has been found in [3,1].

The noncommutative factor $\exp[-(i/2)\sum_{r<s}\theta^{ij}p_i^{(r)}p_j^{(s)}]$ in (2.16) can be rewritten with the operator M, as has been discussed in [1]. In fact, changing the variables σ in (2.6) to the above σ_3, we find that the operator M for the third string turns into

$$\begin{aligned} M^{(3)} = &-\frac{i}{2} \int_0^{\pi\alpha_1} d\sigma \int_{\pi\alpha_1}^{\pi|\alpha_3|} d\sigma' \theta^{ij} \frac{1}{\alpha_3} P_i^{(3)}(\sigma_3) \frac{1}{\alpha_3} P_j^{(3)}(\sigma_3') \\ &+ \frac{i}{4} \int_0^{\pi\alpha_1} d\sigma \int_0^{\pi\alpha_1} d\sigma' \epsilon(\sigma - \sigma') \theta^{ij} \frac{1}{\alpha_3} P_i^{(3)}(\sigma_3) \frac{1}{\alpha_3} P_j^{(3)}(\sigma_3') \\ &+ \frac{i}{4} \int_{\pi\alpha_1}^{\pi|\alpha_3|} d\sigma \int_{\pi\alpha_1}^{\pi|\alpha_3|} d\sigma' \epsilon(\sigma - \sigma') \theta^{ij} \frac{1}{\alpha_3} P_i^{(3)}(\sigma_3) \frac{1}{\alpha_3} P_j^{(3)}(\sigma_3'). \end{aligned} \tag{2.17}$$

Putting this operator $M^{(3)}$ on the vertex $\langle \hat{V}_3^{\rm o}(1,2,3)\,|$, due to the overlapping condition (2.13), we can see that the second term in the right-hand side of (2.17) can be converted to

$M^{(1)}$ and the third term to $M^{(2)}$. Furthermore, the first term becomes $-(i/2)\theta^{ij}p_i^{(1)}p_j^{(2)}$, which can be made into $-(i/2)\sum_{r<s}\theta^{ij}p_i^{(r)}p_j^{(s)}$ by using the momentum conservation. Thus,

$$\langle\hat{V}_3^{\mathrm{o}}(1,2,3)|=\langle V_3^{\mathrm{o}}(1,2,3)|\prod_{r=1}^{3}e^{M^{(r)}}. \tag{2.18}$$

In the same manner, the open four-string vertex $\langle\hat{V}_4^{\mathrm{o}}(1,2,3,4)|$ can be found to be $\langle V_4^{\mathrm{o}}(1,2,3,4)|\exp[-(1/2)\sum_{r<s}\theta^{ij}p_i^{(r)}p_j^{(s)}]$ and be rewritten into

$$\langle\hat{V}_4^{\mathrm{o}}(1,2,3,4)|=\langle V_4^{\mathrm{o}}(1,2,3,4)|\prod_{r=1}^{4}e^{M^{(r)}}.$$

Also, we can easily verify that the closed three-string vertex remains intact:

$$\langle\hat{V}_3^{\mathrm{c}}(3^{\mathrm{c}},2^{\mathrm{c}},1^{\mathrm{c}})|=\langle V_3^{\mathrm{c}}(3^{\mathrm{c}},2^{\mathrm{c}},1^{\mathrm{c}})|\,.$$

The vertex $\langle U(1,2^{\mathrm{c}})|$ gives the transition between an open string and a closed one, and $\langle U_\Omega(1,2,3^{\mathrm{c}})|$ gives the interaction between two open strings and a closed one. Since the coordinates of the ends of open strings are noncommutative on D-branes and the B-field can only change the dynamics of closed strings through interaction with open strings, the modification of these vertices by the B-field are not given by the noncommutative factor $\exp[-(i/2)\sum_{r<s}\theta^{ij}p_i^{(r)}p_j^{(s)}]$. However, as discussed in the appendix, by taking advantage of the operator M to solve the overlap conditions, we can obtain those vertices

$$\begin{aligned}\langle\hat{U}(2,1^{\mathrm{c}})|&=\langle U(2,1^{\mathrm{c}})|\,e^{M^{(2)}},\\ \langle\hat{U}_\Omega(3,2,1^{\mathrm{c}})|&=\langle U_\Omega(3,2,1^{\mathrm{c}})|\,e^{M^{(2)}}e^{M^{(3)}}.\end{aligned}$$

Thus, summing up our results about the vertices in our string field theory, we only have to substitute $e^M|\hat{\Psi}\rangle$ for $|\Psi\rangle$ in the vertices in the absence of the B-field to obtain all the vertices in our background. Therefore, the action of our string field theory is given by

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{S}=&-\frac{1}{2}\langle\hat{\Psi}|\hat{Q}_{\mathrm{B}}|\hat{\Psi}\rangle-\frac{1}{2}\,\langle\Phi|\,\hat{Q}_{\mathrm{B}}(b_0^-\mathcal{P})\,|\Phi\rangle\\ &+\frac{g}{3}\,\langle\hat{V}_3^{\mathrm{o}}(1,2,3)|\,|\hat{\Psi}\rangle_{321}+\frac{g^2}{4}\,\langle\hat{V}_4^{\mathrm{o}}(1,2,3,4)|\,|\hat{\Psi}\rangle_{4321}+\frac{g^2}{3!}\,\langle\hat{V}_3^{\mathrm{c}}(1^{\mathrm{c}},2^{\mathrm{c}},3^{\mathrm{c}})|\,|\Phi\rangle_{321}\\ &+g\,\langle\hat{U}(1,2^{\mathrm{c}})|\,|\Phi\rangle_2\,|\hat{\Psi}\rangle_1+\frac{g^2}{2}\,\langle\hat{U}_\Omega(1,2,3^{\mathrm{c}})|\,|\Phi\rangle_3\,|\hat{\Psi}\rangle_{21}.\end{aligned} \tag{2.19}$$

In the next section, we will obtain an alternative string field theory in the same background through the condensation of the B-field included in closed string fields $|\Phi\rangle$.

3. The Condensation of the B-Field

In the previous section, we obtained the string field theory in the background B-field by constructing the vertices as the solutions of the overlapping conditions. The dynamical variables of this field theory are closed string fields as well as open string ones. Since the B-field is a component field of closed string fields, the background B-field can be obtained through the condensation of closed string fields. Therefore, beginning with the open-closed string field theory (2.12) in the absence of the background B-field, and shifting closed string fields by the vacuum expectation value of the B-field, we obtain a string field theory in the same background as that in the previous section. The resulting theory is different from the theory in the previous section, because only the BRS charge is affected by the condensation. Unfortunately, it is difficult to shift closed string fields by a finite vacuum expectation value. Therefore, we will demonstrate the shifting by an infinitesimal amount in the string field theory. Then, integrating the infinitesimal change of the BRS charge under the shifting, we can find the string field theory in the finite B-field.

3.1. The Background Independence of the String Vertices

Before calculating the contraction of the string vertices with the shifted closed string fields, we need to understand what remains unchanged under the change of the background B-field: $B_{ij} \to B_{ij} + \delta B_{ij}$, as Kugo and Zwiebach have done in [13]. Although they dealt with the closed string field theory compactified on a torus, we will follow their method and extend the assumption to our system of open strings as well as closed strings. Indeed, we assume that the string coordinates X^i and their momenta P_i of open strings and closed strings are background independent. In the previous section, we have dealt with the string coordinates $\hat{X}^i(\sigma)$. These coordinates satisfy the boundary condition: $\partial_\sigma \hat{X}^j - \theta^{ij} P_j = 0$ at $\sigma = 0,\ \pi$. If we assumed that $\hat{X}^i(\sigma)$ was background independent, it would be inconsistent with this boundary condition. To avoid this inconsistency, since $\partial_\sigma \hat{X}^i = \partial_\sigma X^i + \theta^{ij} P_j$, we should assume that, rather than $\hat{X}^i(\sigma)$, the string coordinates $X^i(\sigma)$ of open strings are background independent, as is the momenta $P_j(\sigma)$.

By applying this assumption to the energy-momentum tensor of closed strings, as we can see from (2.11), under the infinitesimal change of the background B-field, we can obtain the variation of the energy-momentum tensor

$$\delta T_{\pm\pm}(\sigma) = -\frac{2\pi\alpha'}{2\alpha'} g^{ik} \delta B_{kj} \left(P_i(\sigma) - B_{il} X'^{l}(\sigma) \right) X'^{j}(\sigma). \tag{3.1}$$

Since the BRS charge for closed strings is given by

$$Q_{\rm B}=\int_0^{2\pi}\frac{d\sigma}{2\pi}\left[c(\sigma)T_{++}(\sigma)+\bar{c}(\sigma)T_{--}(\sigma)\right], \tag{3.2}$$

its variation turns out to be

$$\delta Q_{\rm B}=-\int_0^{2\pi}d\sigma\frac{1}{2}\left(c(\sigma)+\bar{c}(\sigma)\right)g^{ik}\delta B_{kj}\left(P_i(\sigma)-B_{il}X'^l(\sigma)\right)X'^j(\sigma). \tag{3.3}$$

If we had dealt with the BRS charge for open strings in the above and had replaced the string coordinates $\hat{X}^i(\sigma)$ with the background-independent coordinates $X^i(\sigma)$, we would have obtained the variation of the BRS charge in the same form as (3.3) except for the integration over $(0,\pi)$ instead of $(0,2\pi)$.

By using (2.7) and (2.8), in terms of the string coordinates and the momenta, we can express the oscillators for closed strings as

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha^i_n(B)&=\int_0^{2\pi}\frac{d\sigma}{2\pi l_s}e^{in\sigma}g^{ij}\left[(2\pi\alpha')P_j-(2\pi\alpha')B_{jk}X'^k+g_{jk}X'^k\right],\\ \bar{\alpha}^i_n(B)&=\int_0^{2\pi}\frac{d\sigma}{2\pi l_s}e^{-in\sigma}g^{ij}\left[(2\pi\alpha')P_j-(2\pi\alpha')B_{jk}X'^k-g_{jk}X'^k\right].\end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

Here we labeled the oscillators with the letter B as $(\alpha^i_n(B),\bar{\alpha}^i_n(B))$ to explicitly show their dependence on the background B-field. These oscillators $(\alpha^i_n(B),\bar{\alpha}^i_n(B))$ can be related to the oscillators $(\alpha^i_n(0),\bar{\alpha}^i_n(0))$ in the absence of the B-field by using the operator

$$\mathcal{B}=-\frac{i}{2}\int_0^{2\pi}d\sigma B_{ij}X'^i(\sigma)X^j(\sigma). \tag{3.5}$$

In fact, since $e^{\mathcal{B}}P_i(\sigma)e^{-\mathcal{B}}=P_i(\sigma)-B_{ik}X'^j(\sigma)$, we can see from (3.4) that

$$e^{\mathcal{B}}\left(\alpha^i_n(B),\bar{\alpha}^i_n(B)\right)e^{-\mathcal{B}}=\left(\alpha^i_n(0),\bar{\alpha}^i_n(0)\right). \tag{3.6}$$

Also, the $SL(2,\mathbb{C})$ invariant Fock vacuum depends upon the background B-field. Since the Fock vacuum is defined by $\left(\alpha^i_n(B),\bar{\alpha}^i_n(B)\right)|0;0\rangle_B=0$ for $n\geq1$, it is easy to verify that

$$|0;0\rangle_B=e^{\mathcal{B}}\,|0;0\rangle_0\,. \tag{3.7}$$

Here, we also labeled the Fock vacua with the letter B to show its dependence on the B-field.

In the next subsection, we will try to find the string field theory in the background B-field by gradually increasing the amount of the background B-field. We will do this by taking advantage of the condensation of closed string fields. This condensation turns out to only affect the kinetic term, *i.e.*, the BRS charge, but not the interaction terms. Therefore, in this paper, we will assume that the string vertices are background independent. This is also supported by the fact that the string vertices are specified up to an overall normalization factor by the overlapping conditions which are written in terms of the string coordinates $X^i(\sigma)$ and their momenta $P_i(\sigma)$.

3.2. The Calculation for the Shifting of Closed String Fields

An infinitesimal vacuum expectation value of the B-field contained in closed string fields $|\Phi\rangle$ is given by

$$|\delta\Phi\rangle = \frac{1}{x_c g^2} c_0^- \delta B_{ij}\, \alpha_{-1}^i(B) \bar{\alpha}_{-1}^j(B)\, |1,1\rangle_B\, (2\pi)^{26} \delta^{26}(p), \tag{3.8}$$

where δB_{ij} is the infinitesimal constant background of the B-field and x_c is the numerical factor [6] included in the closed three-string vertex. In addition, c_0^- is the zero mode of the reparametrization ghost and is given by the combination $c_0 - \bar{c}_0$. $|1,1\rangle_B$ denotes the state $c_1 \bar{c}_1 |0,0\rangle_B$. By shifting closed string fields as $|\Phi\rangle \to |\Phi\rangle + |\delta\Phi\rangle$ in (2.12), we can obtain an open-closed string field theory in the background B-field. To calculate this shifting in (2.12), we will follow the prescription [18] given by LeClair, Peskin, and Preitschopf, which will facilitate our calculation.

The essential point of the prescription [18] is to take advantage of conformal field theory. The LPP vertices give the relation between vertices in string field theory and correlation functions in the corresponding conformal field theory, as we will see below. In the action (2.12), in terms of the LPP vertices $\langle v(n, \cdots, 2, 1)|$, we can write the five vertices [6] as

$$\begin{aligned}
&\langle V_3^{\rm o}(1,2,3)| = \langle v_3^{\rm o}(1,2,3)|\,, \\
&\langle V_3^{\rm c}(1^{\rm c},2^{\rm c},3^{\rm c})| = x_c \,\langle v_3^{\rm c}(1^{\rm c},2^{\rm c},3^{\rm c})| \prod_{r=1^{\rm c},2^{\rm c},3^{\rm c}} (b_0^- \mathcal{P})^{(r)}, \\
&\langle U(1,2^{\rm c})| = x_u \,\langle u(1,2^{\rm c})|\, (b_0^- \mathcal{P})^{(2^{\rm c})}, \\
&\langle V_4^{\rm o}(1,2,3,4)| = x_4 \int_{\sigma_i}^{\sigma_f} d\sigma_0^{(1)} \left\langle v_4^{\rm o}(1,2,3,4;\sigma_0^{(1)})\right| b_{\sigma_0^{(1)}}, \\
&\langle U_\Omega(1,2,3^{\rm c})| = x_\Omega \int_{\sigma_i}^{\sigma_f} d\sigma_0^{(1)} \left\langle u_\Omega(1,2,3^{\rm c};\sigma_0^{(1)})\right| b_{\sigma_0^{(1)}} (b_0^- \mathcal{P})^{(3^{\rm c})},
\end{aligned} \tag{3.9}$$

where the vertices denoted by the small letters are the LPP vertices. The parameter σ_0 is a moduli parameter of the vertices and $\mathcal{P}$ is the operator which projects onto the $L_0 = \bar{L}_0$ sector for closed strings. The necessity of the inserted anti-ghosts operator b is explained in [19,6]. In particular, b_0^- is given by $(b_0 - \bar{b}_0)/2$.

These LPP vertices are defined by

$$\langle v(n, \cdots, 2, 1)|\, |A_1\rangle_1 |A_2\rangle_2 \cdots |A_n\rangle_n = \langle h_1[\mathcal{O}_{A_1}] h_2[\mathcal{O}_{A_2}] \cdots h_n[\mathcal{O}_{A_n}]\rangle \tag{3.10}$$

where the right-hand side denotes the correlation function of the operators $\mathcal{O}_{A_r}$ transformed by the conformal mappings h_r in the conformal field theory. Here, the string fields

$|A_r\rangle_r$ are $\mathcal{O}_A\,|0\rangle_r$, and each string field $|A_r\rangle_r$ corresponds to a unit disc $|w_r| \leq 1$ of which the corresponding operator $\mathcal{O}_{A_r}$ is inserted at the origin. These unit discs are mapped by h_r into a single complex z-plane to glue the strings. In particular, if the operator $\mathcal{O}$ is a primary field $\phi(w, \bar{w})$ of weight $(d, \bar{d})$, it is transformed by the conformal mapping $z = h(w)$ into

$$h[\phi(w,\bar{w})] = \left(\frac{dh(w)}{dw}\right)^d \left(\frac{d\bar{h}(\bar{w})}{d\bar{w}}\right)^{\bar{d}} \phi\left(h(w), \bar{h}(\bar{w})\right). \tag{3.11}$$

As a simple example, the reflector for open strings is defined by

$$\langle R^{\mathrm{o}}(2,1)|\,|A\rangle_1\,|B\rangle_2 = \langle I[\mathcal{O}_A]\mathcal{O}_B\rangle\,, \tag{3.12}$$

where $I(z) = -1/z$ [18]. For our calculation later, it will be useful to introduce another definition of the reflector

$$\langle R^{\mathrm{o}}(2,1)|\,|A\rangle_1\,|B\rangle_2 = \left\langle h^R_1[\mathcal{O}_A]h^R_2[\mathcal{O}_B]\right\rangle. \tag{3.13}$$

These conformal mappings $h^R_r(w_r)$ are given by the Mandelstam mapping $\rho = \alpha_1 \ln(z - Z_1) + \alpha_2 \ln(z - Z_2)$ where $\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 = 0$. Here we use an intermediate ρ-plane: $w_r = e^{\rho_r}$; $\rho = \alpha_1\rho_1 + \rho_0$ for the first string and $\rho = \alpha_2\rho_2 + \rho_0 + i\pi\alpha_1$ for the second string. ρ_0 is the interaction point.

Thus, in order to make use of this technique, we have to go to the Euclidean signature on the worldsheet. In the conformal field theory language, the string coordinates of closed strings are given by $\partial X^i(w) = (l_s/2i)\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \alpha^i_n w^{-n-1}$ and $\bar{\partial} X^i(\bar{w}) = (l_s/2i)\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \bar{\alpha}^i_n \bar{w}^{-n-1}$. For the reparametrization ghost, $c(w) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} c_n w^{-n+1}$ and $\bar{c}(\bar{w}) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \bar{c}_n \bar{w}^{-n+1}$. In terms of these variables, $|\delta\Phi\rangle$ can be written as

$$|\delta\Phi\rangle = -c_0^- \left(\frac{2}{\alpha' x_c g^2}\right) \delta B_{ij} \lim_{w\to 0} c\,\bar{c}\,\partial X^i \bar{\partial} X^j(w,\bar{w})\,|0,0\rangle_B\,(2\pi)^{26}\delta^{26}(p). \tag{3.14}$$

To accurately formulate our calculation, it is useful to introduce a ‘regularized’ state

$$\left|B^{ij}_\epsilon\right\rangle_B = \lim_{w\to 0} c\,\bar{c}\,\partial X^i \bar{\partial} X^j(w,\bar{w})\,|0,0\rangle_B\,(2\pi)^{26}\delta^{26}_\epsilon(p), \tag{3.15}$$

where $\delta^{26}_\epsilon(p) = (1/2)[\delta(p^+ - \epsilon) + \delta(p^+ + \epsilon)]\delta^{25}(p)$. Therefore, we can see that $c_0^-\,\delta B_{ij}\left|B^{ij}_\epsilon\right\rangle \to -(\alpha' x_c g^2/2)\,|\delta\Phi\rangle$ in the limit $\epsilon \to 0$.

Now, let us calculate the closed three-string vertex $\langle V_3^{\rm c}(1^{\rm c},2^{\rm c},3^{\rm c})|$ inserted by the shift $|\delta\Phi\rangle$ by following the LPP prescription. The conformal mappings h_r for the closed three-string vertex are given by the Mandelstam mapping [12,9]

$$\rho=\sum_{r=1}^{3}\alpha_r\ln(z-Z_r)=\alpha_r\ln w_r+\rho_0^{(r)}, \tag{3.16}$$

where string length parameters satisfy $\sum_{r=1}^{3}\alpha_r=0$. For our calculation, we only need the vertex with a particular configuration of strings where $\alpha_2,\alpha_3>0$ and $\alpha_1<0$. In the Madelstam mapping (3.16) of the configuration, $\rho_0^{(1)}=\rho_0+i\pi\alpha_3$; $\rho_0^{(2)}=\rho_0-i\pi\alpha_2$; $\rho_0^{(3)}=\rho_0$, where ρ_0 is the interaction point given by $\rho_0=\rho(z_0)$; z_0 is defined by $(\partial\rho/\partial z)(z=z_0)=0$. The variable Z_r is the position of the operator of the r-th string on the complex z-plane and are specified by $Z_r=h_r(w_r=0)$.

Using the property of the LPP vertex, we find that

$$\langle v_3^{\rm c}(1^{\rm c},2^{\rm c},3^{\rm c})|\left|B_\epsilon^{ij}\right\rangle_3|A\rangle_2|B\rangle_1=\left\langle c\,\bar{c}\,\partial X^i\bar{\partial}X^j(Z_3,\bar{Z}_3)\,h_2^\epsilon[\mathcal{O}_A]\,h_1^\epsilon[\mathcal{O}_B]\right\rangle, \tag{3.17}$$

where we introduce the label ϵ for the above mappings h_r to remind us that the light-cone momentum p^+ of the third string is infinitesimal: $\alpha_3\sim\epsilon$. In the right-hand side of (3.17), since the operator $c\bar{c}\partial X^i\bar{\partial}X^j$ contracted with the antisymmetric tensor δB_{ij} in the shift is a primary field with its weight $(0,0)$ and $h_3(w_3=0)=Z_3$, we have used the fact that $\lim_{w\to0}h_3[c\bar{c}\delta B_{ij}\partial X^i\bar{\partial}X^j(w,\bar{w})]=c\bar{c}\delta B_{ij}\partial X^i\bar{\partial}X^j(Z_3,\bar{Z}_3)$.

In the limit $\alpha_3\to0$, we can see from (3.16) that the mappings h_r^ϵ become h_r^R, which are the conformal mappings to give the reflector for closed strings: the counterpart of the mapping associated with the reflector (3.13) for open strings. The insertion point Z_3 goes to the interaction point z_0 on the z-plane. Therefore, the operator $c\bar{c}\partial X^i\bar{\partial}X^j(Z_3,\bar{Z}_3)$ in the right-hand side of (3.17) becomes $c\bar{c}\partial X^i\bar{\partial}X^j(z_0,\bar{z}_0)$. Since the interaction z_0 corresponds to the point $w_1=1$ of the first string, we can regard the operator $c\bar{c}\partial X^i\bar{\partial}X^j(z_0,\bar{z}_0)$ as $h_1^R[c\bar{c}\partial X^i\bar{\partial}X^j(w=1,\bar{w}=1)]$. Thus, we find that

$$\langle v_3^{\rm c}(1^{\rm c},2^{\rm c},3^{\rm c})|\left|B_\epsilon^{ij}\right\rangle_3=\langle R^{\rm c}(1^{\rm c},2^{\rm c})|\left(c\bar{c}\partial X^i\bar{\partial}X^j\right)^{(1^{\rm c})}(w=1,\bar{w}=1), \tag{3.18}$$

where we dropped the states $|A\rangle_2$ and $|B\rangle_1$, when the both sides are contracted with δB_{ij}. Since we made no assumptions in choosing these states, (3.18) should hold identically.

When we contract the closed three-string vertex $\langle V_3^{\rm c}(1^{\rm c},2^{\rm c},3^{\rm c})|$ with the shift $|\delta\Phi\rangle$, we can see that the zero mode b_0^- from the vertex cancels c_0^- from the shift by their commutation relation $\{b_0^-, c_0^-\} = 1$ and that the product of $\langle v_3^{\rm c}(1^{\rm c},2^{\rm c},3^{\rm c})|\,\big|B_\epsilon^{ij}\big\rangle_3$ and $(\mathcal{P}b_0^-)^{(1)}(\mathcal{P}b_0^-)^{(2)}$ are left. By (3.18), the former factor becomes the reflector times the operator $-(2/\alpha' x_c g^2)\delta B_{ij} c\bar{c}\partial X^i \bar{\partial} X^j$ of the first string. We make the projection $\mathcal{P}$ and the anti-ghost zero mode b_0^- of the second string pass through the operator $c\bar{c}\partial X^i\bar{\partial}X^j$. After contracting the anti-ghost zero mode b_0^- with the ghost operators $c(\sigma)\bar{c}(\sigma)$, we find that $\langle V_3^{\rm c}(1^{\rm c},2^{\rm c},3^{\rm c})|\,|\delta\Phi\rangle_3$ becomes

$$\langle R^{\rm c}(1^{\rm c},2^{\rm c})|\left(\frac{1}{\alpha' g^2}\right)\mathcal{P}^{(1^{\rm c})}(c^{(1)}+\bar{c}^{(1)})\,\delta B_{ij}\,\partial X^{i(1)}\,\bar{\partial}X^{j(1)}(w=1,\bar{w}=1)\,(b_0^-\mathcal{P})^{(1^{\rm c})}. \quad (3.19)$$

Since the projection operator $\mathcal{P}$ is given by $(1/2\pi)\int_0^{2\pi} d\sigma \exp i\sigma(L_0-\bar{L}_0)$, for an operator $\mathcal{O}(w,\bar{w})$, we obtain

$$\mathcal{P}\,\mathcal{O}(w,\bar{w})\,\mathcal{P} = \int_0^{2\pi}\frac{d\sigma}{2\pi}\,\mathcal{O}(we^{i\sigma},\bar{w}e^{-i\sigma})\mathcal{P}. \quad (3.20)$$

By applying (3.20) to (3.19), we find that $\langle V_3^{\rm c}(1^{\rm c},2^{\rm c},3^{\rm c})|\,|\delta\Phi\rangle_3$ can be rewritten as the reflector $\langle R^{\rm c}(1^{\rm c},2^{\rm c})|$ operated by

$$\left(\frac{1}{\alpha' g^2}\right)\int_0^{2\pi}\frac{d\sigma}{2\pi}(c^{(1)}(\sigma)+\bar{c}^{(1)}(\sigma))\,\delta B_{ij}\,\partial X^{i(1)}\,\bar{\partial}X^{j(1)}(e^{i\sigma},e^{-i\sigma})\,(b_0^-\mathcal{P})^{(1^{\rm c})}, \quad (3.21)$$

where $c(\sigma) = e^{-i\sigma}c(e^{i\sigma}) = \sum_n c_n e^{-in\sigma}$ and $\bar{c}(\sigma) = e^{i\sigma}\bar{c}(e^{-i\sigma}) = \sum_n \bar{c}_n e^{in\sigma}$. Furthermore, $\partial X^i\bar{\partial}X^j(e^{i\sigma},e^{-i\sigma})$ in (3.21) can be written in terms of the string coordinates and the momenta as $A^i(\sigma)\bar{A}^j(\sigma)$ where

$$\begin{aligned} A^i(\sigma) &= \frac{1}{2i}g^{ij}\left[(2\pi\alpha')P_j(\sigma) + (g_{jk}-b_{jk})\,X'^k(\sigma)\right], \\ \bar{A}^i(\sigma) &= \frac{1}{2i}g^{ij}\left[(2\pi\alpha')P_j(\sigma) - (g_{jk}+b_{jk})\,X'^k(\sigma)\right]. \end{aligned} \quad (3.22)$$

Substituting $A^i(\sigma)\bar{A}^j(\sigma)$ into (3.21), we find that (3.21) becomes

$$\frac{1}{g^2}\frac{2\pi\alpha'}{(2\alpha')}\int_0^{2\pi}\frac{d\sigma}{2\pi}(c^{(1)}(\sigma)+\bar{c}^{(1)}(\sigma))\,g^{ik}\delta B_{kj}\,\left(P_i(\sigma)-B_{il}X'^l(\sigma)\right)X'^j(\sigma)\,(b_0^-\mathcal{P})^{(1^{\rm c})}. \quad (3.23)$$

As we can see from (3.3), (3.23) can certainly be interpreted as the change of the BRS charge and we obtain the final result of this calculation

$$\langle V_3^{\rm c}(1^{\rm c},2^{\rm c},3^{\rm c})|\,|\delta\Phi\rangle = -\frac{1}{g^2}\,\langle R^{\rm c}(1^{\rm c},2^{\rm c})|\,\delta Q_{\rm B}^{(1^{\rm c})}(B)(b_0^-\mathcal{P})^{(1^{\rm c})}, \quad (3.24)$$

where we label the variation of the BRS charge $Q_{\rm B}(B)$ with the letter B as $\delta Q_{\rm B}(B)$ to indicate its dependence on the background field B_{ij}. This result (3.24) is in agreement with the result in [13].

The condensation of the B-field can also change the kinetic term of open string fields. The change comes from the contraction of the open-open-closed vertex $\langle U_\Omega|$ and the shift $|\delta\Phi\rangle$. The corresponding LPP vertex $\langle u_\Omega(1,2,3^{\mathrm{c}};\sigma_0)|$ is specified by the Mandelstam mapping [20]

$$\begin{aligned}\rho &= \sum_{r=1,2,3,3^*} \alpha_r \ln(z - Z_r) \\ &= \alpha_r \ln w_r + \rho_0^{(r)},\end{aligned} \tag{3.25}$$

where the string length parameters α_r satisfy $\sum_r \alpha_r = 0$, and $\alpha_3 = \alpha_{3^*}$. When $\alpha_1, \alpha_3 \geq 0$ and $\alpha_2 \leq 0$, $\rho_0^{(2)} = \rho_0 - i\sigma_0^{(1)} - i\pi\alpha_2$; $\rho_0^{(3)} = \rho_0$; $\rho_0^{(1)} = \rho_0 - i\sigma_0^{(1)}$ for $0 \leq \sigma^{(1)} \leq (\sigma_0^{(1)}/\alpha_1)$ and $\rho_0^{(1)} = \rho_0 - i\sigma_0^{(1)} + 2i\pi\alpha_3$ for $(\sigma_0^{(1)}/\alpha_1) \leq \sigma^{(1)} \leq \pi$, where $\sigma^{(1)} = \mathrm{Im}\ln w_1$. ρ_0 is the interaction point which is given by $\rho_0 = \rho(z_0)$; z_0 is defined by $(\partial\rho/\partial z)(z = z_0) = 0$. $\sigma_0^{(1)}$ is the moduli parameter of this vertex which runs from 0 to $\pi\alpha_1$, which is the point where the second open string breaks into the first open string and the closed string. Henceforth, for simplicity, $\sigma_0^{(1)}$ will be denoted by σ_0. The Koba-Nielsen variables Z_1, Z_2 of the open strings are real, while Z_3 and Z_{3^*} of the closed string are complex numbers satisfying that $Z_{3^*} = {Z_3}^*$.

Before calculating the contraction $\langle U_\Omega(1,2,3^{\mathrm{c}})|\left|B^{ij}\right\rangle_B$, it is useful to introduce the open string counterpart of the operator $\mathcal{B}$, which will be denoted by $\mathcal{B}_o$: $\mathcal{B}_o = -(i/2)\int_0^\pi d\sigma B_{ij} {X'}^i(\sigma) X^j(\sigma)$. Since the vertex $\langle U_\Omega(1,2,3^{\mathrm{c}})|$ in the case where $\alpha_1, \alpha_3 \geq 0$ $\alpha_2 \leq 0$ satisfies the overlapping condition

$$\begin{aligned}
&\langle u_\Omega(1,2,3^{\mathrm{c}};\sigma_0)| \left[X^{i(1)}\left(\frac{\sigma}{\alpha_1}\right) - X^{i(2)}\left(\frac{\pi|\alpha_2| - \sigma}{|\alpha_2|}\right)\right] = 0, \quad (0 \leq \sigma < \sigma_0) \\
&\langle u_\Omega(1,2,3^{\mathrm{c}};\sigma_0)| \left[X^{i(3)}\left(\frac{\sigma - \sigma_0}{\alpha_3}\right) - X^{i(2)}\left(\frac{\pi|\alpha_2| - \sigma}{|\alpha_2|}\right)\right] = 0, \quad (\sigma_0 \leq \sigma < \sigma_0 + 2\pi\alpha_3) \\
&\langle u_\Omega(1,2,3^{\mathrm{c}};\sigma_0)| \left[X^{i(1)}\left(\frac{\sigma - 2\pi\alpha_3}{\alpha_1}\right) - X^{i(2)}\left(\frac{\pi|\alpha_2| - \sigma}{|\alpha_2|}\right)\right] = 0, (\sigma_0 + 2\pi\alpha_3 \leq \sigma \leq \pi|\alpha_2|)
\end{aligned} \tag{3.26}$$

we can see that

$$\langle U_\Omega(1,2,3^{\mathrm{c}})| \left[\mathcal{B}_o^{(1)} + \mathcal{B}_o^{(2)} + \mathcal{B}^{(3)}\right] = 0. \tag{3.27}$$

Since, by our assumption, the vertex $\langle U_\Omega(1,2,3^{\mathrm{c}})|$ is background independent and $\left|B^{ij}\right\rangle_B = e^{\mathcal{B}}\left|B^{ij}\right\rangle_0$, we can see from (3.27) that

$$\langle U_\Omega(1,2,3^{\mathrm{c}})|\left|B^{ij}\right\rangle_B = \langle U_\Omega(1,2,3^{\mathrm{c}})|\left|B^{ij}\right\rangle_0 e^{-\mathcal{B}_o^{(1)} - \mathcal{B}_o^{(2)}}. \tag{3.28}$$

Thus, in the following, we will calculate the contraction $\langle U_\Omega(1,2,3^{\rm c})|\,|B^{ij}\rangle_0$, where there is no background B-field. Hence, for the sake of simplicity, we will omit from the states and the oscillators the label 0, which means no background B-field. After the calculation, we will restore the label.

In a similar way to what we have done for the closed three-string vertex, using the property of the LPP vertex, we find that

$$\begin{aligned}&\langle U_\Omega(1,2,3^{\rm c})|\,|\delta\Phi\rangle_{3^{\rm c}}\,|A\rangle_2\,|B\rangle_1\\&=\lim_{\alpha_3\to 0}-\frac{2x_\Omega}{\alpha' x_c g^2}\delta B_{ij}\int_{\sigma_i}^{\sigma_f}d\sigma_0\,\left\langle b_{\sigma_0}\,c\bar{c}\partial X^i\bar{\partial}X^j(Z_3,Z_3{}^*)\,h_2^\epsilon[\mathcal{O}_A]\,h_1^\epsilon[\mathcal{O}_B]\right\rangle.\end{aligned}\tag{3.29}$$

Here the anti-ghost factor is given [6] by

$$b_{\sigma_0}=\frac{d\rho_0}{d\sigma_0}\oint_{C_{\rho_0}}\frac{d\rho}{2\pi i}b(\rho)+\frac{d\rho_0^*}{d\sigma_0}\oint_{C_{\rho_0^*}}\frac{d\rho^*}{2\pi i}\bar{b}(\rho^*).\tag{3.30}$$

We can rewrite the anti-ghost factor as

$$\begin{aligned}b_{\sigma_0}&=i\oint_{C_{z_0}}\frac{dz}{2\pi i}\left(\frac{d\rho}{dz}\right)^{-1}b(z)-i\oint_{C_{z_0^*}}\frac{dz^*}{2\pi i}\left(\frac{d\rho^*}{dz^*}\right)^{-1}\bar{b}(z^*)\\&=2i\left(\frac{d^2\rho}{dz^2}\right)^{-1}_{z=z_0}b(z_0)-2i\left(\frac{d^2\rho^*}{dz^{*2}}\right)^{-1}_{z^*=z_0^*}\bar{b}(z_0^*),\end{aligned}\tag{3.31}$$

where we have used

$$\rho(z)=\rho(z_0)+\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{d^2\rho}{dz^2}\right)^{2}_{z=z_0}(z-z_0)^2+\mathrm{O}((z-z_0)^3).\tag{3.32}$$

As we can see from the Mandelstam mapping of (3.25), when α_3 goes to zero, we can obtain the following equations:

$$\begin{aligned}z_0&=Z_3-\alpha_3\frac{(Z_3-Z_1)(Z_3-Z_2)}{\alpha_1Z_1+\alpha_2Z_2}+\mathrm{O}(\alpha_3^2),\\\left(\frac{d^2\rho}{dz^2}\right)^{-1}_{z=z_0}&=-\alpha_3\left[\frac{(Z_3-Z_1)(Z_3-Z_2)}{\alpha_1Z_1+\alpha_2Z_2}\right]^2+\mathrm{O}(\alpha_3^2).\end{aligned}\tag{3.33}$$

The first equation means that the interaction point z_0 goes to Z_3 in the limit $\alpha_3\to 0$. Then, we can evaluate the ghost part of the correlation function (3.29),

$$\begin{aligned}\lim_{\alpha_3\to 0}b_{\sigma_0}c(Z_3)\bar{c}(Z_3^*)&=\lim_{\alpha_3\to 0}2i\left[\left(\frac{d^2\rho}{dz^2}\right)^{-1}_{z=z_0}\frac{1}{z_0-Z_3}\bar{c}(Z_3)+\left(\frac{d^2\rho^*}{dz^{*2}}\right)^{-1}_{z^*=z_0^*}\frac{1}{z_0^*-Z_3^*}c(Z_3)\right]\\&=2i\left[\frac{(Z_3-Z_1)(Z_3-Z_2)}{\alpha_1Z_1+\alpha_2Z_2}\bar{c}(Z_3)+\frac{(Z_3^*-Z_1)(Z_3^*-Z_2)}{\alpha_1Z_1+\alpha_2Z_2}c(Z_3)\right]\\&=2i\left[\left(\frac{d\tilde{\rho}}{dz}\right)^{-1}_{z=Z_3}\bar{c}(Z_3^*)+\left(\frac{d\tilde{\rho}^*}{dz^*}\right)^{-1}_{z^*=Z_3^*}c(Z_3)\right],\end{aligned}\tag{3.34}$$

where $\tilde{\rho}$ denotes the mapping (3.25) in the limit $\alpha_3 \to 0$. In the same limit, since $z_0 \to Z_3$, the insertion point of the operator $c\bar{c}\partial X^i \bar{\partial} X^j$ becomes equal to the interaction point ρ_0 on the ρ plane. Because this point corresponds to the point $\sigma^{(1)} = (\sigma_0/\alpha_1)$ on the worldsheet of the first string, we can see that

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{d\tilde{\rho}}{dz}\right)^{-1} \bar{c}\partial X^i \bar{\partial} X^j (z_0, {z_0}^*) &= \frac{e^{i(\sigma_0/\alpha_1)}}{\alpha_1} h_1 \left[\bar{c}\partial X^i \bar{\partial} X^j (e^{i(\sigma_0/\alpha_1)}, e^{-i(\sigma_0/\alpha_1)})\right], \\ \left(\frac{d\tilde{\rho}^*}{dz^*}\right)^{-1} c\partial X^i \bar{\partial} X^j (z_0, {z_0}^*) &= \frac{e^{-i(\sigma_0/\alpha_1)}}{\alpha_1} h_1 \left[c\partial X^i \bar{\partial} X^j (e^{i(\sigma_0/\alpha_1)}, e^{-i(\sigma_0/\alpha_1)})\right], \end{aligned} \tag{3.35}$$

where we have used $(dz/d\tilde{\rho}) = (w_1/\alpha_1)(dz/dw_1)$ and the anti-holomorphic counterpart, when the both sides are contracted with δB_{ij}.

Substituting (3.34) and (3.35) into (3.29) and scaling σ_0 as $\sigma_0 \to \alpha_1 \sigma_0$, we find that $\langle U_\Omega(1,2,3^{\rm c})| \, |\delta\Phi\rangle_3 |A\rangle_2 \, |B\rangle_1$ becomes

$$- \langle R^o(1,2)| \, \frac{8\pi i x_\Omega}{\alpha' x_c g^2} \delta B_{ij} \int_0^\pi \frac{d\sigma_0}{2\pi} \left(\rho_0^* c^{(1)}(\rho_0) + \rho_0 \bar{c}^{(1)}(\rho_0^*)\right) \partial X^{i(1)} \bar{\partial} X^{j(1)}(\rho_0, \rho_0^*) \, |A\rangle_2 \, |B\rangle_1 \,, \tag{3.36}$$

where $\rho_0 = e^{i\sigma_0}$. In the paper [6], it was shown that the BRS invariance of the action requires that $8\pi i x_\Omega = x_c$, which we will apply to (3.36). Since $\partial X^i(w) = (l_s/2i) \sum_n \alpha_n^i w^{-n-1}$ and $\bar{\partial} X^i(w^*) = (l_s/2i) \sum_n \alpha_n^i (w^*)^{-n-1}$, in terms of the string coordinates $i\partial_\sigma X^i(\sigma) = l_s \sum_{n\neq 0} \alpha_n^i \sin(n\sigma)$ and the momenta $P_i(\sigma) = (1/\pi l_s) \sum_n g_{ij} \alpha_n^j \cos(n\sigma)$ for open strings, the operator $\partial X^i \bar{\partial} X^j(\rho_0, \rho_0^*)$ turns out to be $-(1/4) \left(2\pi\alpha' g^{ik} P_k + X'^i\right)$ $\left(2\pi\alpha' g^{jl} P_l - X'^j\right)$. Therefore, we can verify that (3.36) becomes

$$- \langle R^o(1,2)| \, \frac{1}{g^2} \frac{2\pi\alpha'}{(2\alpha')} \int_0^\pi \frac{d\sigma}{2\pi} \left(c^{(1)}(\sigma) + \bar{c}^{(1)}(\sigma)\right) g^{ik} \delta B_{kj} \, P_i^{(1)}(\sigma) X'^{j(1)}(\sigma) \, |A\rangle_2 \, |B\rangle_1 \,. \tag{3.37}$$

Here σ_0 has been renamed σ. Thus, as we can see from (3.28), $\langle U_\Omega(1,2,3^{\rm c})| \, |\delta\Phi\rangle_{B\,3}$ in the presence of the B-field becomes

$$- \langle R^o(1,2)| \, \frac{1}{g^2} e^{\mathcal{B}_o^{(1)}} \int_0^\pi d\sigma \frac{1}{2} \left(c^{(1)}(\sigma) + \bar{c}^{(1)}(\sigma)\right) g^{ik} \delta B_{kj} \, P_i^{(1)}(\sigma) X'^{j(1)}(\sigma) e^{-\mathcal{B}_o^{(1)}}, \tag{3.38}$$

where the operator $e^{-\mathcal{B}_o^{(2)}}$ have been passed through the operator acting on the reflector $\langle R^o(1,2)|$ in (3.37) and made into $e^{\mathcal{B}_o^{(1)}}$ by using the reflector.

Now, let us show that the operator

$$e^{\mathcal{B}_o} \int_0^\pi d\sigma \left(c(\sigma) + \bar{c}(\sigma)\right) g^{ik} \delta B_{kj} \, P_i(\sigma) X'^j(\sigma) e^{-\mathcal{B}_o} \tag{3.39}$$

is equal to the variation of the BRS charge for the open strings. We have

$$[\mathcal{B}_o, P_i(\sigma)] = -\frac{1}{2}B_{ij}\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial\sigma}\int_0^\pi d\sigma'\delta_s(\sigma,\sigma')X^j(\sigma') + \int_0^\pi d\sigma'\delta_c(\sigma,\sigma')X'^j(\sigma')\right], \tag{3.40}$$

where we define that $\delta_s(\sigma,\sigma') = (2/\pi)\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\sin(n\sigma)\sin(n\sigma')$ and that $\delta_c(\sigma,\sigma') = (1/\pi) + (2/\pi)\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\cos(n\sigma)\cos(n\sigma')$. We can also prove that

$$\int_0^\pi d\sigma d\sigma' f(\sigma)\delta_c(\sigma,\sigma')g(\sigma') = \int_0^\pi d\sigma d\sigma' f(\sigma)\delta_s(\sigma,\sigma')g(\sigma') \tag{3.41}$$

where $f(\sigma)$ and $g(\sigma)$ are $\cos(n\sigma)$ or $\sin(n\sigma)$ with $n \geq 0$. Furthermore, even when either $f(\sigma)$ or $g(\sigma)$ is σ, (3.41) still holds. By using (3.40) and (3.41), we find that (3.39) becomes

$$\int_0^\pi d\sigma\,(c(\sigma) + \bar{c}(\sigma))\; g^{ik}\delta B_{kj}\left[P_i(\sigma) - B_{il}X'^l(\sigma)\right]X'^j(\sigma), \tag{3.42}$$

which is equal to $\delta Q_{\mathrm{B}}(B)$; $\delta Q_{\mathrm{B}}(B)$ is the variation of the BRS charge $Q_{\mathrm{B}}(B)$ under $B_{ij} \to B_{ij} + \delta B_{ij}$. Here we assume that the BRS charge for open strings is defined as

$$Q_{\mathrm{B}}(B) = \int_0^\pi \frac{d\sigma}{2\pi}\left[c(\sigma)T_{++}(\sigma) + \bar{c}(\sigma)T_{--}(\sigma)\right], \tag{3.43}$$

where the energy-momentum tensor $T_{\pm\pm}$ is

$$\frac{1}{4\alpha'}g^{ij}\left[(2\pi\alpha')P_i \pm (g_{ik} \mp b_{ik})X'^k\right]\left[(2\pi\alpha')P_j \pm (g_{jl} \mp b_{jl})X'^l\right]. \tag{3.44}$$

Note that this BRS charge $Q_{\mathrm{B}}(B)$ is different from the BRS charge $\hat{Q}_B$ in the previous section in the usage of $X^i(\sigma)$ instead of $\hat{X}^i(\sigma)$. Thus, we obtain that

$$\langle U_\Omega(1,2,3^{\mathrm{c}})|\,|\delta\Phi\rangle_B = -\frac{1}{g^2}\langle R^o(1,2)|\,\delta Q_{\mathrm{B}}^{(1)}(B). \tag{3.45}$$

At last, we can find another string field theory in the finite B-field by making use of (3.24) and (3.45):

$$\begin{aligned} S(B) = &-\frac{1}{2}\langle\Psi|Q_{\mathrm{B}}(B)|\Psi\rangle - \frac{1}{2}\langle\Phi|\,Q_{\mathrm{B}}(B)(b_0^-\mathcal{P})\,|\Phi\rangle \\ &+ \frac{g}{3}\langle V_3^{\mathrm{o}}(1,2,3)|\,|\Psi\rangle_{321} + \frac{g^2}{4}\langle V_4^{\mathrm{o}}(1,2,3,4)|\,|\Psi\rangle_{4321} + \frac{g^2}{3!}\langle V_3^{\mathrm{c}}(1^{\mathrm{c}},2^{\mathrm{c}},3^{\mathrm{c}})|\,|\Phi\rangle_{321} \\ &+ g\,\langle U(1,2^{\mathrm{c}})|\,|\Phi\rangle_2\,|\Psi\rangle_1 + \frac{g^2}{2}\langle U_\Omega(1,2,3^{\mathrm{c}})|\,|\Phi\rangle_3\,|\Psi\rangle_{21}, \end{aligned} \tag{3.46}$$

where $Q_{\mathrm{B}}(B)$ for closed strings is given by (3.2) and for open strings by (3.43).

4. The Unitary Transformation between the Two SFTs

In the previous sections we have constructed two open-closed string field theories: one, given in section 2, has the noncommutative factor $\exp[-\sum_{r<s}(i/2)\theta^{ij}p_i^{(r)}p_j^{(s)}]$ in the open three- and four-string vertices and the unitary operators e^M put on the legs of open string fields in the other vertices, while the other, shown in section 3, has the same vertices as the theory in the absence of the B-field. The string coordinates $\hat{X}^i(\sigma)$ in the BRS charge $\hat{Q}_{\rm B}$ of the former theory is replaced with the background independent coordinates $X^i(\sigma)$ in the BRS charge $Q_{\rm B}$ of the latter theory. Thus, since we have two descriptions of the same physics, we are led to ask what relation exists between these theories.

Henceforth, we will call the former theory in section 2 'noncommutative' theory and the latter in section 3 'commutative' theory. As we have shown in section 2, in the noncommutative theory, each open string field has the unitary operator e^M. If the open string fields $\hat{\Psi}$ are transformed as

$$|\Psi\rangle = e^M|\hat{\Psi}\rangle, \tag{4.1}$$

all the interactions in the noncommutative theory become the same as those in the commutative theory. Then, the BRS charge $\hat{Q}_{\rm B}$ in the noncommutative theory becomes $e^M\hat{Q}_{\rm B}e^{-M}$. We will show below that the transformed BRS charge $e^M\hat{Q}_{\rm B}e^{-M}$ is equal to the BRS charge $Q_{\rm B}$ in the commutative theory. Thus, by the unitary transformation, these theories are related to each other.

By the unitary transformation, the 'noncommutative' string coordinates $\partial_\sigma\hat{X}^i(\sigma)$ turn into

$$e^M\partial_\sigma\hat{X}^i(\sigma)e^{-M} = \partial_\sigma X^i(\sigma) + \theta^{ij}\int_0^\pi d\sigma'\,[\delta_c(\sigma,\sigma') - \delta_s(\sigma,\sigma')]\,P_j(\sigma'). \tag{4.2}$$

The second term in the right-hand side of (4.2) can be seen from (3.41) to be vanishing, when it is included in an integrand. Therefore, in the transformed BRS charge $e^M\hat{Q}_{\rm B}e^{-M}$, all we have to do is to replace the string coordinates $\hat{X}^i(\sigma)$ with the ordinary coordinates $X^i(\sigma)$. This means that $e^M\hat{Q}_{\rm B}e^{-M}$ is equal to the BRS charge $Q_{\rm B}$ in the commutative theory. Thus, the noncommutative theory is mapped to the commutative theory by the unitary transformation (4.1).

5. Discussion

In this paper, we have obtained two open-closed string field theories in the same background. One gives a noncommutative gauge theory in the low-energy limit, while the other is expected to give an ordinary gauge theory with the constant background field strength B_{ij} in the infrared. In section 4, we have shown that these theories can be transformed into each other by the unitary operator.

As we mentioned in the footnote in section 2, our oriented open-closed string field theory does not maintain the full gauge invariance. Since we need worldsheets to be orientable to include the background B-field, it would be preferable to have an open-closed string field theory in an appropriate curved background to maintain the gauge invariance by using the Fischler-Susskind mechanism [15], while the orientability of worldsheets is kept, as has been argued in [14]. Besides this issue, the condensation of closed string fields we have dealt with only satisfies the equation of motion from the string field theory up to the next leading order of the string coupling constant g, mainly because, at least at present, we do not have the complete action of a fully gauge invariant oriented open-closed string field theory. However, everything we have shown in this paper would remain true at the leading order of the coupling constant g, even in such a gauge invariant string field theory.

This paper was motivated by the papers [1,3]. Since the kinetic term in Witten's string field theory is the same as the kinetic term in our string field theory in this paper, it is natural to think that Witten's theory transformed by the unitary operator could give an ordinary gauge theory with the background field strength in the low-energy limit. Although, in Witten's open string field theory, we do not have explicit closed string fields, by using the above transformed theory, we might be able to discuss an infinitesimal variation of the background B-field in a similar way to the discussion in [21]. This may lead us to the understanding of the gauge symmetry $B_{ij} \to B_{ij} + \partial_i \Lambda_j - \partial_j \Lambda_i$, $A_i \to A_i + \Lambda_i$ in Witten's string field theory.

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank Tsuguhiko Asakawa, Taichiro Kugo, Katsumi Itoh, Masahiro Maeno, Kazumi Okuyama, Kazuhiko Suehiro, and Seiji Terashima for valuable discussion. T. K. is grateful to the organizers and the participants of Summer Institute '99 in Yamanashi, Japan for the hospitality and stimulating atmosphere created there, which helped to initiate this work. T. K. was supported in part by a Grant-in-Aid (#11740143) and in part by a Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research in a Priority Area: "Supersymmetry and Unified Theory of Elementary Particles"(#707), from the Ministry of Education, Science, Sports and Culture. T. T. was supported in part by Research Fellowships of the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science for Young Scientists.

Appendix A. The Derivations of the Vertices in the Background B-Field

In this appendix, we will derive the open-closed transition vertex $\langle \hat{U}(1,2^{\rm c})|$ and the open-open-closed string vertex $\langle \hat{U}_\Omega(1,2,3^{\rm c})|$ in the presence of the background B-field.

We begin with the open-closed transition vertex $\langle \hat{U}(1,2^{\rm c})|$, which is specified up to an overall normalization by the overlapping condition

$$\langle \hat{U}(2,1^{\rm c})| \left\{ \phi^{(1^{\rm c})}(\sigma^{(1)}) - \hat{\phi}^{(2)}(\sigma^{(2)}) \right\} = 0, \quad (0 \le \sigma \le 2\pi|\alpha_1|) \tag{A.1}$$

where $2\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 = 0$ and $\sigma^{(1)} = \sigma/|\alpha_1|$; $\sigma^{(2)} = (\pi|\alpha_2| - \sigma)/|\alpha_2|$. Here $\phi^{(1^{\rm c})}$ denotes $X^{i(1)}$ or $P_i^{(1)}$ of the first closed string and $\hat{\phi}^{(2)}$ denotes $\hat{X}^{i(2)}$ or $P_i^{(2)}$ of the second open string. Similarly, the open-closed transition vertex $\langle U(1,2^{\rm c})|$ in the absence of the B-field is specified by

$$\langle U(2,1^{\rm c})| \left\{ \phi^{(1^{\rm c})}(\sigma^{(1)}) - \phi^{(2)}(\sigma^{(2)}) \right\} = 0. \quad (0 \le \sigma \le 2\pi|\alpha_1|) \tag{A.2}$$

Here, $\phi^{(2)}$ denotes $X^{i(2)}$ or $P_i^{(2)}$ of the second open string.

Recalling the definition of the operators M for open strings from (2.6) and for closed strings from (2.10), by making use of (A.2) we can verify that

$$\langle U(2,1^{\rm c})| \left(M^{(1^{\rm c})} + M^{(2)} \right) = 0. \tag{A.3}$$

We define the closed string counterpart of the 'dual' coordinates $Q_j(\sigma)$ as

$$Q_j(\sigma) = \frac{1}{2}\int_0^{2\pi} d\sigma' \epsilon(\sigma - \sigma') P_j(\sigma').$$

The dual coordinates $Q_j(\sigma)$ for closed strings connect to those for open strings on the open-closed transition vertex $\langle U(2,1^{\rm c})|$ as

$$\langle U(2,1^{\rm c})| \left\{ Q_j^{(1^{\rm c})}(\sigma^{(1)}) - Q_j^{(2)}(\sigma^{(2)}) \right\} = 0. \quad (0 \le \sigma \le 2\pi|\alpha_1|) \tag{A.4}$$

The commutation relation between M and the string coordinates $X^i(\sigma)$ for closed strings turns out to be

$$\big[M, X^i(\sigma)\big] = -\theta^{ij} Q_j(\sigma). \tag{A.5}$$

From (A.5), we find that

$$\langle U(2,1^{\mathrm{c}})|\, e^{M^{(1^{\mathrm{c}})}} X^{i(1^{\mathrm{c}})}(\sigma^{(1)}) = \langle U(2,1^{\mathrm{c}})|\, e^{M^{(1^{\mathrm{c}})}} \hat{X}^{i(2)}(\sigma^{(2)}), \quad (0 \le \sigma \le 2\pi|\alpha_1|) \tag{A.6}$$

and, thus, we obtain

$$\langle \hat{U}(2,1^{\mathrm{c}})| = \langle U(2,1^{\mathrm{c}})|\, e^{M^{(2)}}. \tag{A.7}$$

Next we will move to the open-open-closed string interaction $\langle \hat{U}_\Omega(3,2,1^{\mathrm{c}})|$ in the presence of the B-field. The overlapping condition for the case $\alpha_1, \alpha_3 \ge 0$ and $\alpha_2 \le 0$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
&\langle \hat{u}_\Omega(1,2,3^{\mathrm{c}};\sigma_0)| \left[\hat{\phi}^{i(1)}(\sigma^{(1)}) - \hat{\phi}^{i(2)}(\sigma^{(2)})\right] = 0, \quad (0 \le \sigma < \sigma_0)\\
&\langle \hat{u}_\Omega(1,2,3^{\mathrm{c}};\sigma_0)| \left[\phi^{i(3^{\mathrm{c}})}(\sigma^{(3)}) - \hat{\phi}^{i(2)}(\sigma^{(2)})\right] = 0, \quad (\sigma_0 \le \sigma < \sigma_0 + 2\pi\alpha_3)\\
&\langle \hat{u}_\Omega(1,2,3^{\mathrm{c}};\sigma_0)| \left[\hat{\phi}^{i(1)}\left(\sigma^{(1)} - 2\pi\frac{\alpha_3}{\alpha_1}\right) - \hat{\phi}^{i(2)}(\sigma^{(2)})\right] = 0, (\sigma_0 + 2\pi\alpha_3 \le \sigma \le \pi|\alpha_2|)
\end{aligned} \tag{A.8}$$

where the notations for $\hat{\phi}^{i(r)}$ are the same as those for the vertex $\langle \hat{U}(2,1^{\mathrm{c}})|$, except $\sigma^{(1)} = \sigma/\alpha_1$; $\sigma^{(2)} = (\pi|\alpha_2| - \sigma)/\alpha_2$; $\sigma^{(3)} = (\sigma - \sigma_0)/\alpha_3$. The overlapping condition for the vertex $\langle U_\Omega(3,2,1^{\mathrm{c}})|$ in the absence of the B-field is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
&\langle u_\Omega(1,2,3^{\mathrm{c}};\sigma_0)| \left[\phi^{i(1)}(\sigma^{(1)}) - \phi^{i(2)}(\sigma^{(2)})\right] = 0, \quad (0 \le \sigma < \sigma_0)\\
&\langle u_\Omega(1,2,3^{\mathrm{c}};\sigma_0)| \left[\phi^{i(3^{\mathrm{c}})}(\sigma^{(3)}) - \phi^{i(2)}(\sigma^{(2)})\right] = 0, \quad (\sigma_0 \le \sigma < \sigma_0 + 2\pi\alpha_3)\\
&\langle u_\Omega(1,2,3^{\mathrm{c}};\sigma_0)| \left[\phi^{i(1)}(\sigma^{(1)} - 2\pi\frac{\alpha_3}{\alpha_1}) - \phi^{i(2)}(\sigma^{(2)})\right] = 0. \, (\sigma_0 + 2\pi\alpha_3 \le \sigma \le \pi|\alpha_2|)
\end{aligned} \tag{A.9}$$

As we can see from (A.9), on the vertex $\langle \hat{U}_\Omega(1,2,3^{\mathrm{c}})|$, we find that

$$\langle U_\Omega(1,2,3^{\mathrm{c}})| \left\{M^{(1)} + M^{(2)} + M^{(3)}\right\} = \langle U_\Omega(1,2,3^{\mathrm{c}})|\, i\theta^{ij} p_i^{(3)} Q_j^{(1)}\left(\frac{\sigma_0}{|\alpha_1|}\right). \tag{A.10}$$

In addition, we can verify that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\langle u_\Omega(\sigma_0)| \left[Q_j^{(1)}(\sigma^{(1)}) - Q_j^{(2)}(\sigma^{(2)})\right] = \langle u_\Omega(\sigma_0)|\, \frac{1}{2} p^{(3)}, \quad (0 \le \sigma < \sigma_0)\\
&\langle u_\Omega(\sigma_0)| \left[Q_j^{(3^{\mathrm{c}})}(\sigma^{(3)}) - Q_j^{(2)}(\sigma^{(2)})\right] = -\langle u_\Omega(\sigma_0)|\, Q_j^{(1)}\left(\frac{\sigma_0}{|\alpha_1|}\right), \quad (\sigma_0 \le \sigma < \sigma_0 + 2\pi\alpha_3)\\
&\langle u_\Omega(\sigma_0)| \left[Q_j^{(1)}(\sigma^{(1)} - 2\pi\frac{\alpha_3}{\alpha_1}) - Q_j^{(2)}(\sigma^{(2)})\right] = -\langle u_\Omega(\sigma_0)|\, \frac{1}{2} p_j^{(3)}, (\sigma_0 + 2\pi\alpha_3 \le \sigma \le \pi|\alpha_2|)
\end{aligned} \tag{A.11}$$

where $\langle u_\Omega(\sigma_0)|$ is short for $\langle u_\Omega(1,2,3^{\mathrm{c}};\sigma_0)|$. By utilizing (A.10) and (A.11), we can find that

$$\langle \hat{U}_\Omega(1,2,3^{\mathrm{c}})\,| = \langle U_\Omega(1,2,3^{\mathrm{c}})|\, e^{M^{(1)}} e^{M^{(2)}}. \tag{A.12}$$

References

[1] T. Kawano and T. Takahashi, "Open String Field Theory on Noncommutative Space," `hep-th/9912274`.

[2] E. Witten, "Noncommutative Geometry and String Field Theory," Nucl. Phys. **B268**, 253 (1986).

[3] F. Sugino, "Witten's Open String Field Theory in Constant B-field Background," JHEP **0003**, 017 (2000), `hep-th/9912254`.

[4] N. Seiberg and E. Witten, "String Theory and Noncommutative Geometry," JHEP **9909**, 032 (1999), `hep-th/9908142`.

[5] T. Kugo and T. Takahashi, "Unoriented Open-Closed String Field Theory," Prog. Theor. Phys. **99**, 649 (1998), `hep-th/9711100`.

[6] T. Asakawa, T. Kugo, and T. Takahashi, "BRS Invariance of Unoriented Open-Closed String Field Theory," Prog. Theor. Phys. **100**, 831 (1999), `hep-th/9807066`.

[7] T. Asakawa, T. Kugo, and T. Takahashi, "One-Loop Tachyon Amplitude in Unoriented Open-Closed String Field Theory," Prog. Theor. Phys. **102**, 427 (1999), `hep-th/9905043`

[8] H. Hata, K. Itoh, T. Kugo, H. Kunitomo, and K. Ogawa, "Covariant String Field Theory," Phys. Rev. **D34**, 2360 (1986).

[9] H. Hata, K. Itoh, T. Kugo, H. Kunitomo, and K. Ogawa, "Covariant String Field Theory. II," Phys. Rev. **D35**, 1318 (1987).

[10] A. Neveu and P. West, "The Interaction Gauge Covariant Bosonic String," Phys. Lett. **B169**, 192 (1986).

[11] M. Kaku and K. Kikkawa, "The Field Theory of Relativistic Strings, PT. 1: Trees," Phys. Rev. **D10**, 1110 (1974).

[12] S. Mandelstam, "Interacting-String Picture of Dual Resonance Models," Nucl. Phys. **B64**, 205 (1973).

[13] T. Kugo and B. Zwiebach, "Target Space Duality as a Symmetry of String Field Theory," Prog. Theor. Phys. **87**, 801 (1992).

[14] T. Kugo, "Unoriented Open-Closed String Field Theory," Prog. Theor. Phys. Suppl. **134**, 137, (1999).

[15] W. Fischler and L. Susskind, "Dilaton Tadpoles, String Condensates and Scale Invariance," Phys. Lett. **B171B**, 383 (1986); "Dilaton Tadpoles, String Condensates and Scale Invariance 2," Phys. Lett. **B173B**, 262 (1987).

[16] C.-S. Chu and P.-M. Ho, "Noncommutative Open String and D-Brane," Nucl. Phys. **B550**, 151 (1999), `hep-th/9812219`; "Constrained Quantization of Open String in Background B Field and Noncommutative D-Brane," `hep-th/9906192`.

[17] F. Ardalan, H. Arfaei, and M. M. Sheikh-Jabbari, "Dirac Quantization of Open Strings and Noncommutativity in Branes," `hep-th/9906161`.

[18] A. LeClair, M. Peskin, and C. R. Preitschopf, "String Field Theory on the Conformal Plane (I)," Nucl. Phys. **B317**, 411 (1989).

[19] L. Alvarez-Gaumé, C. Gomez, G. Moore, and C. Vafa, "Strings in the Operator Formalism," Nucl. Phys. **B303**, 455 (1988)

[20] H. Hata and M. M. Nojiri, "New Symmetry in Covariant Open-String Field Theory," Phys. Rev. **D36**, 1193 (1987).

[21] A. Strominger, "Closed Strings in Open String Field Theory," Phys. Rev. Lett. **58**, 629 (1987) .